



<u>Area</u> <sup>1</sup>	<u>Industry</u> <sup>2</sup>	<u>Jan. '19</u>	<u>Jan. '20</u>	<u>12-month %</u> <u>change</u>	<u>12-month</u> <u>gain/loss</u>	<u>Rank</u> <sup>3</sup>
<b>Alabama</b>						
Statewide	Construction	89,000	91,100	2%	2,100	
Statewide	Mining, Logging, and Construction	99,200	100,800	2%	1,600	
Anniston-Oxford-Jacksonville, AL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,100	1,200	9%	100	21
Auburn-Opelika, AL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	2,600	2,800	8%	200	31
Birmingham-Hoover, AL	Construction	28,100	29,000	3%	900	125
Decatur, AL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	4,000	4,100	3%	100	125
Dothan, AL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	2,600	2,600	0%	0	201
Florence-Muscle Shoals, AL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	3,500	3,500	0%	0	201
Gadsden, AL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,000	1,000	0%	0	201
Huntsville, AL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	8,800	9,000	2%	200	147
Mobile, AL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	11,200	11,700	4%	500	100
Montgomery, AL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	6,200	6,600	6%	400	57
Tuscaloosa, AL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	6,600	7,100	8%	500	31
Columbus, GA-AL	Mining, Logging, and Construction	4,700	4,700	0%	0	201
<b>Alaska</b>						
Statewide	Construction	13,400	14,300	7%	900	
Statewide	Mining, Logging, and Construction	26,000	27,300	5%	1,300	
Anchorage, AK	Construction	8,400	9,000	7%	600	41
Fairbanks, AK	Construction	2,200	2,100	-5%	-100	326
<b>Arizona</b>						
Statewide	Construction	163,400	171,700	5%	8,300	
Statewide	Mining, Logging, and Construction	176,700	185,200	5%	8,500	
Flagstaff, AZ	Mining, Logging, and Construction	2,500	2,300	-8%	-200	341
Lake Havasu City-Kingman, AZ	Mining, Logging, and Construction	3,400	3,300	-3%	-100	294
Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale, AZ	Construction	128,600	135,400	5%	6,800	73
Prescott, AZ	Mining, Logging, and Construction	5,900	6,100	3%	200	125
Sierra Vista-Douglas, AZ	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,700	1,900	12%	200	6
Tucson, AZ	Construction	17,300	17,900	3%	600	125
Yuma, AZ	Mining, Logging, and Construction	2,800	3,000	7%	200	41
<b>Arkansas</b>						
Statewide	Construction	50,100	51,900	4%	1,800	
Statewide	Mining, Logging, and Construction	55,800	57,600	3%	1,800	
Fayetteville-Springdale-Rogers, AR-MO	Mining, Logging, and Construction	11,800	12,400	5%	600	73
Fort Smith, AR-OK	Mining, Logging, and Construction	5,200	5,800	12%	600	6
Little Rock-North Little Rock-Conway, AR	Mining, Logging, and Construction	17,200	18,000	5%	800	73
Memphis, TN-MS-AR	Mining, Logging, and Construction	23,000	23,400	2%	400	147
Texarkana, TX-AR	Mining, Logging, and Construction	2,700	2,700	0%	0	201
<b>California</b>						
Statewide	Construction	842,800	859,000	2%	16,200	
Statewide	Mining, Logging, and Construction	864,600	881,100	2%	16,500	
Anaheim-Santa Ana-Irvine, CA Div.	Construction	104,000	104,100	0.1%	100	199
Bakersfield, CA	Construction	15,600	16,500	6%	900	57
Chico, CA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	4,000	4,200	5%	200	73
El Centro, CA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,800	1,800	0%	0	201
Fresno, CA	Construction	17,900	18,200	2%	300	147
Hanford-Corcoran, CA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,000	1,000	0%	0	201
Los Angeles-Long Beach-Glendale, CA Div.	Construction	144,100	150,700	5%	6,600	73
Madera, CA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,900	1,900	0%	0	201
Merced, CA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	2,300	2,600	13%	300	3
Modesto, CA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	9,800	9,900	1%		





Area<sup>1</sup>

Industry<sup>2</sup>

Jan. '19

Jan. '20

12-month %  
change

12-month  
gain/loss







Area<sup>1</sup>

Industry<sup>2</sup>

Jan. '19

Jan. '20

12-month %  
change

12-month  
gain/loss



! "# \$ % ' ( ) \* + , ( - . / " ) , % 0 1 2 3 ) 4 1 \$ , " % & 4 % 6 \$ " - ) 2 ) 3 ' " # , % 7 - \$ # % ) - % 8 ' 9 ( ' ) , : % # , . # - 4 % < = > ? @ ; # , . # - 4 % < = < =

<u>Area</u> <sup>1</sup>	<u>Industry</u> <sup>2</sup>	<u>Jan. '19</u>	<u>Jan. '20</u>	<u>12-month % change</u>	<u>12-month gain/loss</u>	<u>Rank</u> <sup>3</sup>
Akron, OH	Mining, Logging, and Construction	13,200	13,900	5%	700	73
Canton-Massillon, OH	Mining, Logging, and Construction	8,100	7,600	-6%	-500	330
Cincinnati, OH-KY-IN	Mining, Logging, and Construction	44,800	43,200	-4%	-1,600	310
Cleveland-Elyria, OH	Mining, Logging, and Construction	35,100	37,900	8%	2,800	31
Columbus, OH	Mining, Logging, and Construction	39,900	43,400	9%	3,500	21
Dayton, OH	Mining, Logging, and Construction	12,700	12,800	1%	100	176
Lima, OH	Mining, Logging, and Construction	2,000	2,000	0%	0	201
Mansfield, OH	Mining, Logging, and Construction	2,000	1,800	-10%	-200	350
Springfield, OH	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,100	1,000	-9%	-100	348
Toledo, OH	Mining, Logging, and Construction	13,900	14,700	6%	800	57
Weirton-Steubenville, WV-OH	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,600	1,600	0%	0	201
Youngstown-Warren-Boardman, OH-PA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	8,500	8,300	-2%		



! "# \$ % & ' ( ) \* + , ( - . / " ) , % 1 2 3 ) 4 1 \$ , " % 4 % 6 \$ " - ) 2 ) 3 " # , % 7 - \$ # % ) - 8 ' 9 ( ' ) , : % # , . # - 4 % < = > ? @ ; # , . # - 4 % < = < =

<u>Area</u> <sup>1</sup>	<u>Industry</u> <sup>2</sup>	<u>Jan. '19</u>	<u>Jan. '20</u>	<u>12-month % change</u>	<u>12-month gain/loss</u>	<u>Rank</u> <sup>3</sup>
Myrtle Beach-Conway-North Myrtle Beach, SC-NC	Mining, Logging, and Construction	10,500	10,900	4%	400	100
Spartanburg, SC	Mining, Logging, and Construction	7,500	7,600	1%	100	176
Augusta-Richmond County, GA-SC	Mining, Logging, and Construction	19,800	20,800	5%	1,000	73
Charlotte-Concord-Gastonia, NC-SC	Mining, Logging, and Construction	65,700	68,600	4%	2,900	100
<b>South Dakota</b>						
Statewide	Construction	20,700	21,700	5%	1,000	
Statewide	Mining, Logging, and Construction	21,500	22,600	5%	1,100	
Rapid City, SD	Mining, Logging, and Construction	4,700	4,700	0%	0	201
Sioux Falls, SD	Mining, Logging, and Construction	8,200	8,300	1%	100	176
<b>Tennessee</b>						
Statewide	Construction	124,200	127,300	2%	3,100	
Statewide	Mining, Logging, and Construction	128,500	131,600	2%	3,100	

Chattanooga, TN-G 0 0 0 7-0.1 (w) 0.2 (i) -0.4 559.44 508.32 7.92 re W n /Cs1 cs 0 0 0 sc q 0.72 0 0 0.72 59.04 547.92 cm BT 0 0 0 17 0 0 Tm/TT4 1 Tf [(C) 0.2 (h) -0.1 (a)ing, L-0.1 (i) -0.1 (







!"#\$%&'()\*+,-./:;@<=>?@#;# . #-4%<=>?@#;# . #-4%<=>?

<u>Area</u> <sup>1</sup>	<u>Industry</u> <sup>2</sup>	<u>Jan. '19</u>	<u>Jan. '20</u>	<u>12-month % change</u>	<u>12-month gain/loss</u>	<u>Rank</u> <sup>3</sup>
--------------------------	------------------------------	-----------------	-----------------	------------------------------	-------------------------------	--------------------------

<sup>3</sup>Rank: BLS currently provides construction (or combined construction, mining and logging) employment data for 358 metro areas, rounded to the nearest 100. Thus, it is not possible to calculate percent changes more accurately than to the nearest whole percent and all metro areas with the same whole-percent change in employment are ranked the